UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,820	11/04/2003	Sung Uk Moon	244927US90	4464
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET		EXAMINER		
		DEAN, RAYMOND S		
ALEAANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/699,820	MOON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RAYMOND S. DEAN	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this α (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Ju</u>	ıly 2010.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	īx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,6,7,11,13-18,20,21,23-25,27 and 7) ☐ Claim(s) 19,22,26 and 29 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. <u>28</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/699,820 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Kim et al. (US 7,146,552), which also teaches the use of modulation and coding for the transmission of data, teaches an error correction method applied to the transmitted information (Cols. 1 lines 63 - 67, 2 lines 1 - 9, 7 lines 47 - 50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 14 15, 16, 18, 23, 25, 20, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trossen et al. (US 7,054,643) in view of Kim et al. (US 7,286,558)(Kim1) and in further view of Kim et al. (US 7,146,552)(Kim2)

Regarding Claim 1, Trossen teaches a radio communication system for performing multicast communication comprising: a reception ability value collector configured to collect a reception ability value of each mobile station belonging to a specific multicast group (Cols: 3 lines 35 – 39, 4 lines 6 – 11, 5 lines 20 – 43, 6 lines 4 – 24, Table 1); a radio resource manager configured to manage available radio

resources (Col. 6 lines 16-20, efficiently managing the frequency spectrum, which is a radio resource a transmission method determiner configured to determine a transmission method of transmitting information in accordance with the collected reception ability value (Col. 5 lines 38-39, modulation-coding schemes); a transmission method determiner configured to determine the transmission method in accordance with the reception ability value and the available radio resources, so that a mobile station belonging to the specific multicast group equipped with a lowest reception ability can receive the information using the determined transmission method (Cols. 5 lines 20-43, 6 lines 4-24, lines 39-41, lines 60-67, 7 lines 1-2, lines 60-67, 8 lines 5-7, lines 1-36); and a transmitter configured to transmit the information to each mobile station belonging to the specific multicast group using the determined transmission method without precluding a new mobile station that attempts to join the specific multicast group from joining the specific multicast group (Col. 12 lines 38-51).

Trossen does not teach wherein the reception ability value defines a reception buffer size of each mobile station and wherein the transmission method is determined by at least one of a hierarchical organization of the transmitted information, an amount of transmitted information, a number of codes used to code the transmitted information, an error correction method applied to the transmitted information, and a number of blocks of transmitted information.

Kim1, which also teaches a wireless system wherein the base station determines maximum data rate that a mobile station can support, teaches a reception ability value

that defines a reception buffer size of each mobile station (Col. 8 lines 31 - 34, each mobile station uses the supplemental channel to transmit data to the base station thus there will be a determination of the buffer size of each mobile, the buffer will receive data for the purpose of transmitting or receiving thus said buffer is a reception buffer).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Trossen with above feature of Kim1 as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining the maximum data rate that a mobile station can support.

Kim2, which also teaches the use of modulation and coding for the transmission of data, teaches an error correction method applied to the transmitted information (Cols. 1 lines 63 - 67, 2 lines 1 - 9, 7 lines 47 - 50).

It would have been obvious to modify the system of Trossen in view of Kim1 with the above technique of Kim2 for the purpose of improving system performance by uniting puncturing for channel coding with puncturing for rate matching as taught by Kim2.

Regarding Claim 4, Trossen teaches a radio station comprising: a reception ability value collector configured to collect a reception ability value of each mobile station belonging to a specific multicast group (Figure 5, Cols: 3 lines 35 – 39, 4 lines 6 – 11, 5 lines 20 – 43, 6 lines 4 – 24, 10 lines 1 – 4, Table 1); a radio resource manager configured to manage available radio resources (Col. 6 lines 16 – 20, efficiently managing the frequency spectrum, which is a radio resource a transmission method determiner configured to determine a transmission method of transmitting information

Application/Control Number: 10/699,820

Art Unit: 2618

in accordance with the collected reception ability value (Col. 5 lines 38-39, modulation-coding schemes); a transmission method determiner configured to determine the transmission method in accordance with the reception ability value and the available radio resources, so that a mobile station belonging to the specific multicast group equipped with a lowest reception ability can receive the information using the determined transmission method (Cols. 5 lines 20-43, 6 lines 4-24, lines 39-41, lines 60-67, 7 lines 1-2, lines 60-67, 8 lines 5-7, lines 1-36); and a transmitter configured to transmit the information to each mobile station belonging to the specific multicast group using the determined transmission method without precluding a new mobile station that attempts to join the specific multicast group from joining the specific multicast group (Col. 12 lines 38-51).

Page 5

Trossen does not teach wherein the reception ability value defines a reception buffer size of each mobile station and wherein the transmission method is determined by at least one of a hierarchical organization of the transmitted information, an amount of transmitted information, a number of codes used to code the transmitted information, an error correction method applied to the transmitted information, and a number of blocks of transmitted information.

Kim1, which also teaches a wireless system wherein the base station determines maximum data rate that a mobile station can support, teaches a reception ability value that defines a reception buffer size of each mobile station (Col. 8 lines 31 – 34, each mobile station uses the supplemental channel to transmit data to the base station thus

there will be a determination of the buffer size of each mobile, the buffer will receive data for the purpose of transmitting or receiving thus said buffer is a reception buffer).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Trossen with above feature of Kim1 as an alternative means for achieving the predictable result of determining the maximum data rate that a mobile station can support.

Kim2, which also teaches the use of modulation and coding for the transmission of data, teaches an error correction method applied to the transmitted information (Cols. 1 lines 63 - 67, 2 lines 1 - 9, 7 lines 47 - 50).

It would have been obvious to modify the system of Trossen in view of Kim1 with the above technique of Kim2 for the purpose of improving system performance by uniting puncturing for channel coding with puncturing for rate matching as taught by Kim2.

Regarding Claims 14, 15, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1, 4. Trossen further teaches wherein the transmission method determiner is configured to determine the transmission method so that the mobile station belonging to the specific multicast group equipped with a lowest reception ability can receive the information using the determined transmission method, even when at least one mobile station capable of receiving the information using a transmission method corresponding to a more robust reception ability value exists in the specific multicast group (Cols. 6 lines 16 - 20, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/699,820

Art Unit: 2618

39 - 41, lines 60 - 67, 7 lines 1 - 2, lines 60 - 62, 8 lines 5 - 7, lines 14 – 36, See Response To Arguments in Office Action dated June 15, 2009).

Regarding Claims 16, 23, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1, 4. Trossen further teaches wherein the transmission method is determined by the amount of transmitted information (Col. 5 lines 38 – 39, the modulation coding parameters define a particular data rate, which renders a particular amount of transmitted information).

Regarding Claims 18, 25, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1, 4. Kim2 further teaches wherein the transmission method is determined by the error correction method applied to the transmitted information (Cols. 1 lines 63 - 67, 2 lines 1 - 9, 7 lines 47 - 50)

Regarding Claims 20, 27, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 18, 25. Kim2 further teaches wherein the error correction method applied to the transmission information includes turbo codes (Col. 1 lines 63 – 67).

4. Claims 6, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trossen et al. (US 7,054,643) in view of Kim et al. (US 7,286,558)(Kim1) in view of Kim et al. (US 7,146,552)(Kim2) and in further view of Hundscheidt et al. (US 7,499,466)

Regarding Claims 6, 11, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 4, 1. Trossen in view of Kim1

and in further view of Kim2 does not teach wherein the transmission method is determined by the hierarchical organization of the transmitted information.

Hundscheidt, which also teaches communicating via multicast, teaches hierarchical organization of the transmitted information (Col. 7 lines 15 – 19, 44 – 45, the multicast groups are prioritized thus the information intended for said prioritized groups is prioritized).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 with the above feature of Hundscheidt for the purpose of providing an efficient provision of multicast data delivery as taught by Hundscheidt.

5. Claims 7, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trossen et al. (US 7,054,643) in view of Kim et al. (US 7,286,558)(Kim1) and in further view of Kim et al. (US 7,146,552)(Kim2), as applied to Claims 4, 1 set forth above, and further in view of Agrawal et al. (US 6,748,234)

Regarding Claims 7, 13, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 4, 1. Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 does not teach wherein the radio resource is defined by at least one of transmission power, the numbers of codes, the numbers of frequencies and propagation conditions.

Agrawal, which also teaches a CDMA2000 system, teaches wherein the radio resource is defined by transmission power (Col. 3 lines 53 – 59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 with the above feature of Agrawal for the purpose of compensating for power fluctuations associated with fading as taught by Agrawal.

6. Claims 17, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trossen et al. (US 7,054,643) in view of Kim et al. (US 7,286,558)(Kim1) and in further view of Kim et al. (US 7,146,552)(Kim2), as applied to Claims 1, 4 set forth above, and further in view of Yoshida et al. (US 2002/0016944)

Regarding Claims 17, 24, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1, 4. Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 does not teach wherein the transmission method is determined by the number of codes used to code the transmitted information.

Yoshida, which also teaches error correction, teaches a number of codes used to code transmitted information (Section 0014 lines 1 - 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the above feature of Yoshida in the system of Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 for the purpose of transmitting data with high speed and high reliability as taught by Yoshida.

7. Claims 21, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trossen et al. (US 7,054,643) in view of Kim et al. (US 7,286,558)(Kim1) and in further

view of Kim et al. (US 7,146,552)(Kim2), as applied to Claims 1, 4 set forth above, and further in view of Miller et al. (6,151,696)

Regarding Claims 21, 28, Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 4. Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 does not teach wherein the transmission method is determined by the number of blocks of transmitted information.

Miller, which also teaches a multicast system, teaches wherein the transmission method is determined by the number of blocks of transmitted information (Col. 3 lines 47 - 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Trossen in view of Kim1 and in further view of Kim2 with the above feature of Miller for the purpose of providing fast and reliable transmission of data as taught by Miller.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 19, 22, 26, 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach or render obvious the following:

wherein the error correction method applied to the transmitted information includes collapse codes and wherein the transmission method is determined by the hierarchical organization of the transmitted information, which indicates a method of transmitting the information organized hierarchically by a modulation method used to modulate the transmitted information, a transmission power used to transmit the transmitted information, the numbers of codes used to code the transmitted information, the numbers of blocks of transmitted information, and an importance of the transmitted information

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,820 Page 12

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAYMOND S. DEAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raymond S Dean/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 Raymond S. Dean September 30, 2010